UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,008	03/13/2007	Pratibhash Chattopadhyay	FER-15618.001.001	6289
	7590 02/26/201 L & CLARK LLP	EXAMINER		
23755 Lorain R	oad - Suite 200	HUDA, SAEED M		
North Olmsted, OH 44070-2224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/552,008	CHATTOPADHYAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SAEED M. HUDA	1791				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	etober 2009					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	· <del></del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
olooca iii addordando with the practice andor E.	parte Quayle, 1000 O.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 10</u> is/are pending in the app	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-7, and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
5, <u> </u>						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/552,008 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. The response filed on 10/30/2009 has been fully considered and entered into the record. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, and 10 are pending in the application

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, to the extent that the arguments are applicable to the new grounds of rejection; they are addressed below.

Applicant states that Daitch discloses a method for producing an aerogel material that is doped with a special bioaffinity compound and goes on to state that the gel consists of a solid silicate network that is soaking in the ethanol solution. Applicant states that the Examiner stated that the matrix is not in particle form. After having reviewed the Daitch reference, it is clear that the matrix is in fact in particle form (see figure 2A), but that the removed phase is not solid. The Chattopadhyay et al. reference is utilized in the rejection below as a teaching reference. A newly formulated rejection is presented below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,008

Art Unit: 1791

4. Claims 1, 3, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma (US 2002/0005600 A1) in view of Hanna et al. (US 6063138).

Page 3

a. Regarding claims 1 and 10, Ma teaches a 3D porous polymeric scaffold (abstract). Ma teaches that a natural or synthetic composition is cast to form a body (solid) of shaped porogen material ([0053]). Ma goes on to teach that the porogen material is removed thereby forming a porous material ([0054]). The porogen material can be waxes, polymers, and sugars ([0055]). Though Ma does not explicitly state that particles are formed, particles can be formed if the proper cast body starting geometry is utilized. Ma states that the porogen material may be removed by any suitable means ([0070]), but fails to explicitly teach the use of a supercritical fluid. Ma fails to explicitly teach the aerodynamic size range or geometric volume diameter; however, the claimed size range and volume diameter is merely dictated by the desired dimensions particles in the product; therefore, they do not impart any patentably inventive concept to the claimed method. Ma fails to teach the use of a supercritical fluid.

Hanna teaches a method for forming particles of a substance by introducing a solution or suspension of a substance in a first vehicle and a second vehicle (first and second material) which is miscible with the first vehicle (abstract). Hanna goes on to teach that the first vehicle may comprise sugar (column 5, lines 5-10) and where a supercritical fluid is introduced to disperse (extract) the second vehicle (claim 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a supercritical fluid to

Application/Control Number: 10/552,008 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

dissolve the second material of Ma in that this is an art recognized method of removing a second material from a combined first and a second material as exemplified by the teaching of Hanna.

- b. Regarding claim 3, Ma teaches that the scaffolding can be made from synthetic polymers such as polylactide or polyglycolide (i.e. biodegradable polymers).
- c. Regarding claim 7, Ma in view of Hanna teach the use of carbon dioxide as a supercritical fluid (Hanna column 6, lines 35-39).
- 5. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma (US 2002/0005600 A1) in view of Hanna et al. (US 6063138) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Stalling et al. (US 5198115).

Ma in view of Hanna et al. fails to explicitly teach a fluidized bed. Stalling et al. teach a supercritical fluid extraction method where supercritical fluid is used to extract a sample from a matrix where a solvent is present and the material remains in the solvent after exposure to super critical fluid (column 6, lines 38-column 7, line 35). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the invention of Stalling et al. in Ma in view of Hanna et al. because this will allow for unlimited control of pertinent process parameters (column 1, lines 15-20).

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAEED M. HUDA whose telephone number is (571)270-5514. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,008 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KHANH NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

/SAEED M. HUDA/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791